

FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR (ε, λ) -UNIFORMLY LOCALLY CONTRACTIVE MAPPING DEFINED ON ε -CHAINABLE G -METRIC TYPE SPACES

Y. U. GABA^{1,2*}, M. APHANE², C. F. ÉLÉGBÉDÉ³, §

ABSTRACT. In this article, we discuss fixed point results for (ε, λ) -uniformly locally contractive self mapping defined on ε -chainable G -metric type spaces. In particular, we show that under some more general conditions, certain fixed point results already obtained in the literature remain true.

Keywords: ε -chainable G -metric, fixed point, λ -sequence.

AMS Subject Classification: Primary 47H05; Secondary 47H09, 47H10.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Fixed-point theory is an important and flourishing area of research of pure and applied mathematics. Its relevance is due to the fact that in many real life problems, it is a key mathematical tool used to establish the existence of solutions. Although the basic ideas for fixed-point theory came from metric space topology, the last decades have seen a rapid growth of the theory in metric-type spaces, see [3, 8] where concepts like startpoint, endpoint were introduced, as “fixed-point like” theory. We also know from Mustafa [12,

¹ Institut de Mathématiques et de Sciences Physiques, (IMSP/UAC), Laboratoire de Topologie Fondamentale, Computationnelle, Et leurs Applications (Lab-ToFoCApp), BP 613, Porto-Novo, Bénin.

¹ African Center for Advanced Studies (ACAS), P.O. Box 4477, Yaounde, Cameroon.

² Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, Sefako Makgatho Health Sciences University, Ga-Rankuwa, South Africa.

e-mail: yaeulrich.gaba@gmail.com; ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8128-9704>.

* Corresponding author.

maggie.aphane@smu.ac.za; ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9640-7846>.

³ Université Nationale des Sciences, Technologies, Ingénierie et Mathématiques, (UNSTIM), Faculté des Sciences et Techniques de Natitingou, Département de Mathématiques, Bénin. chabi.elegbede@gmail.com; ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5721-3870>.

§ Manuscript received: August 21, 2020; accepted: February 07, 2021.

TWMS Journal of Applied and Engineering Mathematics, Vol.12, No.4 © Işık University, Department of Mathematics, 2022; all rights reserved.

Proposition 5] that every G -metric space is topologically equivalent to a metric space but G -metric spaces and metric spaces are “isometrically” distinct.

Many fixed point in G -metric type spaces appear in the literature and the works by Gaba[1, 8, 3, 4], Jleli[6], Kadelburg[7], Mohanta[10], Mustafa et al. ([11, 13, 14, 15]), Patil[16], Tran Van An[18] and many more, are very enlightening on the subject. In [1], we began the study of fixed point for certain maps defined on G -metric type spaces. Our purpose in the present paper is to pursue this study by providing new fixed point results. We make use of the idea of orbitally complete and ε -chainable G -metric type spaces as well as the concept of (ε, λ) -uniformly locally contractive mapping that we introduce in this paper. We also show how the idea of λ -sequence can be used to prove some of these results. The method builds on the convergence of an appropriate series of coefficients. Recent and similar work can also be read in [5, 17].

We recall here some key results that will be useful in the rest of this manuscript. The basic concepts and notations attached to the idea of G -metric type spaces are merely copies of those introduced for G -metric spaces and can be read extensively in [12] but for the convenience of the reader, we here recall the most important ones.

In [9, Definition 6], Khamsi and Hussain introduced the so-called metric-type space (X, m, α) , where the classical triangle inequality condition is replaced by

$$m(x, y) \leq \alpha[m(x, z_1) + m(z_1, z_2) + \cdots + m(z_n, y)]$$

for any points for any points $x, y, z, z_i \in X$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ where $n \geq 1$ and some non-negative constant $\alpha \geq 0$.

Imitating this, we introduced in [1] the definition below:

Definition 1.1. (Compare [12, Definition 3]) Let X be a nonempty set, and let the function $G : X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfy the following properties:

- (G1) $G(x, y, z) = 0$ if $x = y = z$ whenever $x, y, z \in X$;
- (G2) $G(x, x, y) > 0$ whenever $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$;
- (G3) $G(x, x, y) \leq G(x, y, z)$ whenever $x, y, z \in X$ with $z \neq y$;
- (G4) $G(x, y, z) = G(x, z, y) = G(y, z, x) = \dots$, (symmetry in all three variables);
- (G5)

$$G(x, y, z) \leq K[G(x, z_1, z_1) + G(z_1, z_2, z_2) + \cdots + G(z_n, y, z)]$$

for any points $x, y, z, z_i \in X$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ where $n \geq 1$.

The triplet (X, G, K) is called a G -metric type space.

Remark 1.1. (Compare [1]) We can easily observe that G -metric type spaces generalize G -metric spaces and that for $K = 1$, we recover the classical G -metric. Furthermore, if (X, G, K) is a G -metric type space, then for any $L \geq K$, (X, G, L) is also a G -metric type space.

Straightforward computations lead to the following.

Proposition 1.1. (Compare [12, Proposition 6]) Let (X, G, K) be a G -metric type space. Define on X the metric type d_G by $d_G(x, y) = G(x, y, y) + G(x, x, y)$ whenever $x, y \in X$. Then for a sequence $(x_n) \subseteq X$, the following are equivalent

- (i) (x_n) is G -convergent to $x \in X$.
- (ii) $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} G(x, x_n, x_m) = 0$.
- (iii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_G(x_n, x) = 0$.
- (iv) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x, x_n, x_n) = 0$.

$$(v) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x_n, x, x) = 0.$$

Proposition 1.2. (Compare [12, Proposition 9])
 In a G -metric type space (X, G, K) , the following are equivalent

- (i) The sequence $(x_n) \subseteq X$ is G -Cauchy.
- (ii) For each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $G(x_n, x_m, x_m) < \varepsilon$ for all $m, n \geq N$.
- (iii) (x_n) is a Cauchy sequence in the metric type space (X, d_G, K) .

Definition 1.2. (Compare [12, Definition 9]) A G -metric type space (X, G, K) is said to be G -complete if every G -Cauchy sequence in (X, G, K) is G -convergent in (X, G, K) .

2. MAIN RESULTS

We begin with the following property.

Definition 2.1. ([11]) Let (X, G, K) be a G -metric type space. A mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ is called Lipschitzian if there exists $k \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$G(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leq kG(x, y, z) \tag{1}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. The smallest constant k which satisfies the above inequality is called the Lipschitz constant of T , and is denoted $Lip(T)$. In particular T is a contraction if $Lip(T) \in [0, 1)$.

Theorem 2.1. Let (X, G, K) be a G -complete G -metric type space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that T^n is Lipschitzian for all $n \geq 1$ and that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Lip(T^n) < \infty$. Then T has a unique fixed point $x^* \in X$. In fact, T is a Picard operator.

Proof. Let $x \in X$. For any $n, h \geq 0$, we have

$$G(T^{n+h}x, T^n x, T^n x) \leq Lip(T^n)G(T^h x, x, x) \leq K Lip(T^n) \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} G(T^{i+1}x, T^i x, T^i x). \tag{2}$$

Hence

$$G(T^{n+h}x, T^n x, T^n x) \leq K Lip(T^n) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{h-1} Lip(T^i) \right) G(Tx, x, x). \tag{3}$$

Since $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Lip(T^n) < \infty$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Lip(T^n) = 0$. This forces $(T^n x)$ to be a G -Cauchy sequence. Since X is G -complete, then $(T^n x)$ converges to some point $x^* \in X$.

Claim 1: x^* is a fixed point of T . On the one hand we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(T^{n-1}x, x^*, x^*) &\leq K (G(T^{n-1}x, T^n x, T^n x) + G(T^n x, x^*, x^*)) \\ &\leq K [Lip(T^{n-1}) G(x, Tx, Tx) + G(T^n x, x^*, x^*)], \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

hence we get

$$\begin{aligned} G(x^*, Tx^*, Tx^*) &\leq K [G(x^*, T^n x, T^n x) + G(T^n x, Tx^*, Tx^*)] \\ &\leq K [G(x^*, T^n x, T^n x) + K Lip(T)G(T^n x, x^*, x^*) \\ &\quad + K Lip(T)Lip(T^{n-1})G(x, Tx, Tx)]. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Letting n tends to ∞ , we get $G(x^*, Tx^*, Tx^*) = 0^1$, i.e $Tx^* = x^*$.

Claim 2: x^* is the only fixed point of T . If a^* is a fixed point of T , then

$$G(a^*, x^*, x^*) \leq G(T^n a^*, T^n x^*, T^n x^*) \leq Lip(T^n)G(a^*, x^*, x^*) \quad (6)$$

for any $n \geq 1$. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Lip(T^n) = 0$, we obtain that $a^* = x^*$.

□

Example 2.1. Let $X = \{0, 1, 2\}$ be endowed with the G -metric:

$$G(x, y, z) = \max\{x, y, z\},$$

whenever, $x, y, z \in X$. The the G -metric space (X, G) is G -complete.

Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be the mapping

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x = 0, 1, \\ 1, & \text{if } x = 2. \end{cases}$$

Observe that for $n \geq 2$, $T^n(x) = 0$ whenever, $x \in X$. So

$$G(T^n x, T^n y, T^n z) = 0 \leq 0 \cdot G(x, y, z),$$

whenever, $x, y, z \in X$. Hence $Lip(T^n) = 0$ for $n \geq 2$.

It is also very clear that $Lip(T^1) = Lip(T) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ since

$$1 = G(T0, T1, T2) = G(0, 0, 1) \leq 1 = \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2 = \frac{1}{2}G(0, 1, 2).$$

We obtain a similar upper bound for $Lip(T^1) = Lip(T)$ by considering

$$G(T0, T1, T1) = G(T0, T0, T1), G(T1, T1, T2) = G(T1, T2, T2), G(T0, T0, T2) = G(T0, T2, T2).$$

Moreover, $Lip(T^0) = Lip(I_X) \leq 1$ since

$$2 = G(0, 1, 2) \leq 1 \cdot 2 = 1 \cdot G(0, 1, 2)$$

and where I_X is the identity map of X .

In conclusion

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Lip(T^n) \leq 1 + \frac{1}{2} + 0 = \frac{3}{2} < \infty,$$

and the hypothesis of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied.

Furthermore, T has a unique fixed point $x^* = 0$.

Instead of the property (G5), a more natural condition is what appears in [12, Definition 3]

$$(G5') \quad D(x, y, z) \leq K[D(x, z_1, z_1) + D(z_1, y, z)]$$

for any points $x, y, z, z_1 \in X$ for some constant $K > 0$.

¹See [12, Proposition 1], which allows us to have $G(x, y, z) = 0 \iff x = y = z$

Theorem 2.2. *Let (X, G, K) be a G -complete G -metric type space where G satisfies $(G5')$ instead of $(G5)$. Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that T^n is Lipschitzian for all $n \geq 1$ and that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Lip(T^n) = 0$. Then T has a unique fixed point $x^* \in X$ if and only if the orbit $\{T^n x, n \geq 1\}$ is bounded² for some $x \in X$. In fact, if there exists x^* such that $Tx^* = x^*$, then T is a Picard operator.*

Proof. It is clear that when T has a fixed point, say $u \in X$, then its orbit $\{T^n u, n \geq 1\} = \{u\}$ is bounded.

Now let $x \in X$ and assume that the orbit $\{T^n x, n \geq 1\}$ is bounded, i.e. there exists $\alpha \geq 0$ such that $G(T^{n+h}x, T^n x, T^n x) \leq \alpha$ for any $n, h \geq 0$. Hence, we have

$$G(T^{n+h}x, T^n x, T^n x) \leq Lip(T^n)G(T^h x, x, x) \leq Lip(T^n)\alpha.$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Lip(T^n) = 0$, then $(T^n x)$ is a G -Cauchy sequence, hence $(T^n x)$ converges to some x^* as X is G -complete. The remaining part of the proof follows the same idea as in Theorem 2.1. □

The two above results generalise the ones appearing in [12], in the sense that the mapping T involved does not have to be a contraction, hence the condition on $\{Lip(T^n)\}$.

We present in the following lines a few fixed point results for (ε, λ) -uniformly locally contractive mapping defined on X . We begin with the following definitions. Let (X, G, K) be a G -metric type space.

Definition 2.2. *Let (X, d_1, k_1) and (Y, d_2, k_2) be two G -metric type spaces. A mapping $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be sequentially continuous if the sequence $\{Tx_n\}$ d_2 -converges to Tx^* whenever the sequence $\{x_n\}$ d_1 -converges to x^* .*

Definition 2.3. *A self mapping T defined on a G -metric type space (X, G, K) is said to be orbitally continuous if and only if $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} T^{n_i} x = x^* \in X$ implies $Tx^* = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} TT^{n_i} x$.*

Definition 2.4. *Let T be a self mapping defined on a G -metric type space (X, G, K) . The space (X, G, K) is said to be T -orbitally complete if and only if for any $a \in X$ every G -Cauchy sequence which is contained in $\{a, Ta, T^2a, T^3a, \dots\}$ G -converges in X .*

Remark 2.1. *We know that the Banach contraction requires the original space to be complete but this assumption can be difficult to realise and often we just need the convergence of a specific type of sequences, namely the ones generated by the orbits and for this, the idea of orbitally completeness comes as a substitute for the metric completeness. For instance if we let $X = [0, \infty)$ with $G(x, y, z) = \max\{|x - y|, |y - z|, |z - x|\}$ and define on X the map $T : X \rightarrow X$ by $Tx = x(x + 1)^{-1}$. Then one can convince oneself that even though (X, G) is not complete, T is orbitally continuous and X is T -orbitally complete.*

Remark 2.2. *Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 remain true if instead of requiring the space (X, G, K) to be G -complete, we just assume that (X, G, K) is T -orbitally complete and T orbitally continuous.*

Definition 2.5. *Let (X, G, K) be a G -metric type space. For $x, y \in X, x \neq y$, a path from $x \in X$ to $y \in X$ is a finite sequence $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}, n \geq 1$ of distinct points of X such that $x = x_0$ and $y = x_n$. In this case, n will be called the degree³ of the path.*

²Recall that a subset Y of X is said to be bounded whenever $\sup\{G(x, y, z), x, y, z \in X\} < \infty$.

³Of course, every point is a path of degree 0.

Definition 2.6. The G -metric type space (X, G, K) will be called ε -chainable for some $\varepsilon > 0$ if for any two points $x, y \in X, x \neq y$, there exists a path $\{x = x_0, x_1, \dots, y = x_n\}$ from x to y such that $G(x_i, x_{i+1}, x_{i+1}) \leq \varepsilon$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$.

Example 2.2. Let X be a non-empty set. We endow X with the discrete G -metric:

$$G(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x = y = z, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$(X, G, 1)$ is 1-chainable but not $\frac{1}{2}$ -chainable.

Definition 2.7. Let (X, G, K) be a G -metric type space. A self mapping T defined on X is called locally contractive if for every $x \in X$, there exist $\varepsilon_x \geq 0$ and $\lambda_x \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$G(Tu, Tv, Tp) \leq \lambda_x G(u, v, p) \quad (7)$$

whenever $u, v, p \in C_G(x, \varepsilon_x) := \{y : G(x, y, y) \leq \varepsilon_x\}$.

Definition 2.8. Let (X, G, K) be a G -metric type space. A self mapping T on X is called uniformly locally contractive if it is locally contractive and for every $x, y \in X, x \neq y$, $\varepsilon := \varepsilon_x = \varepsilon_y \geq 0$ and $\lambda := \lambda_x = \lambda_y \in [0, 1)$, i.e. the constants ε_x, λ_x do not depend on the choice of $x \in X$.

2.1. The sequential condition.

Theorem 2.3. Let (X, G, K) be a G -complete G -metric type space and let T be a sequentially continuous⁴ self mapping on X such that

$$G(T^n x, T^n y, T^n z) \leq a_n [G(x, Tx, Tx) + G(y, Ty, Ty) + G(z, Tz, Tz)] \quad (8)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ where $a_n (> 0)$ for all $n \geq 1$ are independent from x, y, z and $0 \leq a_1 < \frac{1}{2}$. If the series $\sum a_n^5$ is convergent, then T has a unique fixed point in X .

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$. We consider the sequence of iterates $x_n = T^n x_0, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Then for $n \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} G(T^n x_0, T^{n+1} x_0, T^{n+1} x_0) &\leq a_n [G(x_0, Tx_0, Tx_0) + G(Tx_0, T^2 x_0, T^2 x_0) \\ &\quad + G(Tx_0, T^2 x_0, T^2 x_0)] \\ &= a_n [G(x_0, Tx_0, Tx_0) + 2G(Tx_0, T^2 x_0, T^2 x_0)]. \end{aligned}$$

Again

$$\begin{aligned} G(Tx_0, T^2 x_0, T^2 x_0) &\leq a_1 [G(x_0, Tx_0, Tx_0) + G(Tx_0, T^2 x_0, T^2 x_0) \\ &\quad + G(Tx_0, T^2 x_0, T^2 x_0)]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$G(T^n x_0, T^{n+1} x_0, T^{n+1} x_0) \leq a_n \left[1 + \frac{2a_1}{1 - 2a_1} \right] G(x_0, Tx_0, Tx_0). \quad (9)$$

Using property (G5), we can write:

⁴Or just orbitally continuous.

⁵It is enough that the sequence (a_n) converges to 0

$$\begin{aligned}
 G(x_n, x_{n+m}, x_{n+m}) &= G(T^n x_0, T^{n+m} x_0, T^{n+m} x_0) \\
 &\leq K[G(T^n x_0, T^{n+1} x_0, T^{n+1} x_0) + G(T^{n+1} x_0, T^{n+2} x_0, T^{n+2} x_0) + \\
 &\quad + \dots + G(T^{n+m-1} x_0, T^{n+m} x_0, T^{n+m} x_0)].
 \end{aligned}$$

So using (9), we get

$$G(x_n, x_{n+m}, x_{n+m}) \leq [a_n + a_{n+1} + \dots + a_{n+m-1}] \left[1 + \frac{2a_1}{1 - 2a_1} \right] G(x_0, Tx_0, Tx_0).$$

Now since $\sum a_n$ is convergent, we get that $G(x_n, x_{n+m}, x_{n+m}) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and the sequence (x_n) is G -Cauchy. Moreover, since X is G -complete and T sequentially continuous, there exists $x^* \in X$ such that (x_n) G -converges to x^* and (x_{n+1}) G -converges to $Tx^* = x^*$ because (X, G, K) is Hausdorff. If x^*, z^* are fixed points for T , then from (8), we have $x^* = T^n x^* = T^n z^* = z^*, \forall n \geq 1$, i.e. T has a unique fixed point. □

In a similar way, one can establish that:

Theorem 2.4. *Let (X, G, K) be a G -complete G -metric type space and let T be a sequentially continuous self mapping such that*

$$G(T^n x, T^n y, T^n z) \leq a_n[G(x, Tx, Tx) + G(y, Ty, Ty) + G(z, Tz, Tz)]$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ where $a_n (> 0)$ for all $n \geq 1$ are independent from x, y, z and $0 \leq a_1 < \frac{1}{2}$. If X is T -orbitally complete and that the series $\sum a_n$ is convergent, then T has a unique fixed point in X .

The next two results are inspired by Theorem 2.2.

Theorem 2.5. *Let (X, G, K) be a G -complete G -metric type space and let T be an orbitally continuous self mapping on X such that*

$$G(T^n x, T^n y, T^n z) \leq a_n[G(x, Tx, Tx) + G(y, Ty, Ty) + G(z, Tz, Tz)] \tag{10}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ where $a_n (> 0)$ for all $n \geq 1$ are independent from x, y, z and $0 \leq a_1 < \frac{1}{2}$. We assume that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$. Then T has a unique fixed point $x^* \in X$ if and only if the orbit $\{T^n x, n \geq 1\}$ is bounded for some $x \in X$. In fact, if there exists x^* such that $Tx^* = x^*$, then T is a Picard operator.

Theorem 2.6. *Let T be an orbitally continuous self mapping on a T -orbitally complete G -metric type space (X, G, K) such that*

$$G(T^n x, T^n y, T^n z) \leq a_n[G(x, Tx, Tx) + G(y, Ty, Ty) + G(z, Tz, Tz)] \tag{11}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ where $a_n (> 0)$ for all $n \geq 1$ are independent from x, y, z and $0 \leq a_1 < \frac{1}{2}$. We assume that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$. Then T has a unique fixed point $x^* \in X$ if and only if the orbit $\{T^n x, n \geq 1\}$ is bounded for some $x \in X$. In fact, if there exists x^* such that $Tx^* = x^*$, then T is a Picard operator.

2.2. The Φ -class extension.

Let Φ be the class of continuous, non-decreasing, sub-additive and homogeneous functions $F : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that $F^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$. We have the following interesting result which generalises Theorem 2.3.

Theorem 2.7. *Let (X, G, K) be a G -complete G -metric type space and let T be a sequentially continuous self mapping such that*

$$F(G(T^n x, T^n y, T^n z)) \leq F(a_n[G(x, Tx, Tx) + G(y, Ty, Ty) + G(z, Tz, Tz)]) \quad (12)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ where $a_n (> 0)$ is independent from x, y, z and $0 \leq a_1 < \frac{1}{2}$ for some $F \in \Phi$ homogeneous with degree s . If the series $\sum a_n$ is convergent, then T has a unique fixed point in X . Moreover T is a Picard operator.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$. We consider the sequence of iterates $x_n = T^n x_0, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Then for $n \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} F(G(T^n x_0, T^{n+1} x_0, T^{n+1} x_0)) &\leq F(a_n[G(x_0, Tx_0, Tx_0) + 2G(Tx_0, T^2 x_0, T^2 x_0)]) \\ &\leq a_n^s F(G(x_0, Tx_0, Tx_0)) + (2a_n)^s F(G(Tx_0, T^2 x_0, T^2 x_0)) \end{aligned}$$

Again

$$\begin{aligned} F(G(Tx_0, T^2 x_0, T^2 x_0)) &\leq F(a_1[G(x_0, Tx_0, Tx_0) + 2G(Tx_0, T^2 x_0, T^2 x_0)]) \\ &\leq a_1^s F(G(x_0, Tx_0, Tx_0)) + (2a_1)^s F(G(Tx_0, T^2 x_0, T^2 x_0)). \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$F(G(Tx_0, T^2 x_0, T^2 x_0)) \leq \frac{a_1^s}{1 - (2a_1)^s} F(G(x_0, Tx_0, Tx_0))$$

Therefore

$$FG(T^n x_0, T^{n+1} x_0, T^{n+1} x_0) \leq a_n^s \left[1 + \frac{(2a_1)^s}{1 - (2a_1)^s} \right] F(G(x_0, Tx_0, Tx_0)). \quad (13)$$

Using property (G5) and 13, we can write:

$$F(G(x_n, x_{n+m}, x_{n+m})) \leq [a_n^s + a_{n+1}^s + \dots + a_{n+m-1}^s] \left[1 + \frac{(2a_1)^s}{1 - (2a_1)^s} \right] F(G(x_0, Tx_0, Tx_0)).$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, since $F^{-1}(0) = 0$ and F is continuous, we deduce that $G(x_n, x_{n+m}, x_{n+m}) \rightarrow 0$ and the sequence (x_n) is G -Cauchy. Moreover, since X is G -complete and T sequentially continuous, there exists $x^* \in X$ such that (x_n) G -converges to x^* and x_{n+1} G -converges to $Tx^* = x^*$ because (X, G, K) is Hausdorff. The uniqueness of x^* is given for free by the condition (12). □

In a similar way, one can establish that

Theorem 2.8. *Let (X, G, K) be a G -complete G -metric type space and let T be a sequentially continuous self mapping such that*

$$F(G(T^n x, T^n y, T^n z)) \leq F(a_n[G(x, Tx, Tx) + G(y, Ty, Ty) + G(z, Tz, Tz)]) \quad (14)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ where $a_n (> 0)$ is independent from x, y, z and $0 \leq a_1 < \frac{1}{2}$ and for some $F \in \Phi$ homogeneous with degree s . If X is T -orbitally complete and that the series $\sum a_n$ is convergent, then T has a unique fixed point in X .

Remark 2.3. If we set $F = Id_{[0, \infty)}$ in the Theorem 2.7, we obtain the result of Theorem 2.3; the same applies to Theorem 2.4 with regard to Theorem 2.8.

Example 2.3. Let $X = [0, 1]$ and $G(x, y, z) = \max\{x, y, z\}$ whenever $x, y, z \in [0, 1]$. Clearly, $(X, G, 1)$ is a G -complete G -metric space.

Following the notation in Theorem 2.7, we set $a_n = \left(\frac{1}{1+2^n}\right)^2$.

We also define $T(x) = \frac{x}{16}$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$ and let F be defined as $F : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $x \mapsto \sqrt{x}$. Then F is continuous, non-decreasing, sub-additive and homogeneous of degree $s = \frac{1}{2}$ and $F^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$. Assume $x > y \geq z$. Hence we have

$$F(G(T^n x, T^n y, T^n z)) = \sqrt{\frac{x^n}{16^n}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{x}{16^n}},$$

and

$$F(a_n[G(x, Tx, Tx) + G(y, Ty, Ty) + G(z, Tz, Tz)]) = \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{1+2^n}\right)^2 (x + y + z)}.$$

Observe that $\sum a_n \leq \sum \frac{1}{n^2} < \infty$ and $a_1 = \frac{1}{9} < \frac{1}{2}$. The conditions of Theorem 2.7 are satisfied, so T has a unique fixed point, which in this case is $x^* = 0$.

A more general result can be written as:

Theorem 2.9. Let T be an orbitally continuous self mapping on a T -orbitally complete G -metric type space (X, G, K) such that

$$F(G(T^n x, T^n y, T^n z)) \leq F(a_n[G(x, Tx, Tx) + G(y, Ty, Ty) + G(z, Tz, Tz)]) \tag{15}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ where $a_n (> 0)$ for all $n \geq 1$ are independent from x, y, z and $0 \leq a_1 < \frac{1}{2}$ and for some $F \in \Phi$ homogeneous with degree s . We assume that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$. Then T has a unique fixed point $x^* \in X$ if and only if the orbit $\{T^n x, n \geq 1\}$ is bounded for some $x \in X$. In fact, if there exists x^* such that $Tx^* = x^*$, then T is a Picard operator.

2.3. The ε -chainable setting.

The next result illustrates the use of the ε -chainability in fixed point theory.

The formulation is given as follows:

Theorem 2.10. If T is a (ε, λ) -uniformly locally contractive and orbitally continuous mapping defined on a T -orbitally complete and $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ -chainable G -metric type space (X, G, K) , then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof. Let $x \in X$. If $Tx = x$, then we are done. Else, since X is $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ -chainable, there exists a path $\{x = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n = Tx_0\}$ from x to Tx such that

$$G(x_i, x_{i+1}, x_{i+1}) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$. It is very clear that

$$G(x, Tx, Tx) \leq \frac{K\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Since T is (ε, λ) -uniformly locally contractive,

$$G(Tx_i, Tx_{i+1}, Tx_{i+1}) \leq \lambda G(x_i, x_{i+1}, x_{i+1}) < \frac{\lambda\varepsilon}{2} \quad \forall i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1.$$

Hence, by induction

$$G(T^m x_i, T^m x_{i+1}, T^m x_{i+1}) \leq \lambda^m G(x_i, x_{i+1}, x_{i+1}) < \frac{\lambda^m \varepsilon}{2} \quad \forall m \geq 1.$$

Moreover, by property (G5)

$$G(T^m x, T^{m+1} x, T^{m+1} x) \leq K[G(T^m x, T^m x_1, T^m x_1) + G(T^m x_1, T^m x_2, T^m x_2) + \dots + G(T^m x_{n-1}, T^m T x, T^m T x)],$$

and the above induction, we conclude that

$$G(T^m x, T^{m+1} x, T^{m+1} x) \leq \frac{\lambda^m K n \varepsilon}{2} \quad \forall m \geq 1.$$

For $l, m \geq 1$, and again from property (G5)

$$G(T^m x, T^{m+l} x, T^{m+l} x) < \frac{\lambda^m K^2 n \varepsilon}{1 - \lambda} \frac{1}{2},$$

which establishes that $\{T^n x\} \subseteq \{x, Tx, T^2 x, \dots\}$ is a G -Cauchy sequence and G -converges to some $x^* \in X$ since X is T -orbitally complete. Obviously x^* is the desired fixed point by orbitally continuity of T .

For uniqueness, if z^* is a fixed point such that $x^* \neq z^*$, we can find a path or an $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ -chain, from x^* to z^* with

$$x^* = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n = z^*,$$

We know that

$$G(T^m x^*, T^m z^*, T^m z^*) < \frac{\lambda^m K n \varepsilon}{2} \quad \forall m \geq 1.$$

Hence

$$G(x^*, z^*, z^*) = G(T^m x^*, T^m z^*, T^m z^*) < \frac{\lambda^m K n \varepsilon}{2} \quad \forall m \geq 1.$$

As $m \rightarrow \infty$ $G(x^*, z^*, z^*) = 0$ and $x^* = z^*$. □

We conclude this subsection with these examples.

Example 2.4. Let $X = \{0, 1\}$ be endowed with the G -metric:

$$G(0, 0, 0) = G(1, 1, 1) = 0; \quad G(0, 0, 1) = 1; \quad G(0, 1, 1) = 2.$$

Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be the mapping $T0 = T1 = 0$.

It is easy to see that $(X, G, 1)$ is $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ -chainable with $\varepsilon = 4$ and T -orbitally complete⁶. It can also be noticed that T is (ε, λ) -uniformly locally contractive with $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ and T has a unique fixed point $x^* = 0$.

⁶The G -Cauchy sequences in the orbits are actually stationary

Example 2.5. Let $X = \{0, 1, 2\}$ be endowed with the G -metric:

$$\begin{aligned} G(0, 0, 0) &= G(1, 1, 1) = G(2, 2, 2) = 0; \\ G(0, 0, 1) &= G(1, 1, 0) = 1; \\ G(0, 0, 2) &= G(1, 1, 2) = G(0, 2, 2) = G(1, 2, 2) = G(0, 1, 2) = 2. \end{aligned}$$

Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be the mapping

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x = 0, 1, \\ 1, & \text{if } x = 2. \end{cases}$$

$(X, G, 1)$ is $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ -chainable with $\varepsilon = 4$ and T -orbitally complete. Also notice that T is (ε, λ) -uniformly locally contractive with $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ and T has a unique fixed point $x^* = 0$.

Remark 2.4. In general, for a bounded G -metric type space (X, G, K) , if we set

$$\delta := \sup\{G(x, y, z), x, y, z \in X\},$$

then X is δ -chainable.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST.

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this article.

DATA AVAILABILITY

No data was used in the present manuscript.

3. CONCLUSION

In this paper, after introducing the concept of ε -chainable G -metric type spaces, we derived fixed point results for (ε, λ) -uniformly locally contractive self mapping defined on such spaces. Some non-trivial examples were provided to illustrate the results. Moreover, it was outlined how these new fixed point results, generalized certain fixed point results already obtained in the literature.

REFERENCES

1. Gaba, Y. U., (2018), Fixed points of rational type contractions in G -metric spaces. Cogent Mathematics & Statistics, 5, (1), p.1444904.
2. Gaba, Y. U., (2017), Common Fixed Points via λ -Sequences in G -Metric Spaces, Journal of Mathematics Volume 2017, Article ID 6018054, 7 pages.
3. Gaba, Y. U., (2017), Fixed point theorems in G -metric spaces. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 455, (1), pp. 528-537.
4. Gaba, Y. U., (2016), λ -sequences and fixed point theorems G -metric Type Spaces. Journal of the Nigerian Mathematical Society, 35, (2), pp.303-311.
5. Gaba, Y. U., (2014), Startpoints and (α, γ) -Contractions in Quasi-Pseudometric Spaces, Journal of Mathematics Volume 2014, Article ID 709253, 8 pages.
6. Jleli, M., and Bessem, S., (2012), Remarks on G -metric spaces and fixed point theorems, Fixed Point Theory and Applications 2012, (1), pp. 1-7.
7. Jovanović, M., Kadelburg, Z. and Radenović, S., (2010), Common fixed point results in metric-type spaces. Fixed point theory and applications, 2010, pp. 1-15.
8. Kazeem, E. F., Agyingi, C.A. and Gaba, Y.U., (2014), On quasi-pseudometric type spaces. Chinese Journal of Mathematics, Article ID 198685, 7 pages.

9. Khamsi, M. A. and Hussain, N., (2010), KKM mappings in metric type spaces. *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, 73, (9), pp. 3123-3129.
10. Mohanta, S. K., (2012), Some fixed point theorems in G -metric spaces. *Analele Universitatii" Ovidius" Constanta-Seria Matematica*, 20, (1), pp. 285-306.
11. Mustafa, Z., (2005), *A New Structure for Generalized Metric Spaces: With Applications to Fixed Point Theory* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Newcastle).
12. Mustafa, Z. and Sims, B., (2006), A new approach to generalized metric spaces. *Journal of Nonlinear and convex Analysis*, 7, (2), pp. 289-297.
13. Mustafa, Z., Obiedat, H. and Awawdeh, F., (2008), Some fixed point theorem for mapping on complete G -metric spaces. *Fixed point theory and Applications*, 2008, pp. 1-12.
14. Mustafa, Z., Shatanawi, W., Bataineh, M. and Volodin, A., (2009), Existence of fixed point results in G -metric spaces. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, 2009, Article ID: 283028, 10 pages.
15. Mustafa, Z., Awawdeh, F. and Shatanawi, W., (2010), Fixed point theorem for expansive mappings in G -metric spaces. *Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sci*, 5(50), pp.2463–2472.
16. Patil, S. R. and Salunke, J. N., (2012), Expansion mapping theorems in G -cone metric spaces. *Int. Journal of Math. Analysis*, 6(44), pp. 2147-2158.
17. Sihag, V., Vats, R. K. and Vetro, C., (2014), A fixed point theorem in G -metric spaces via α -series. *Quaestiones Mathematicae*, 37, (3), pp.429–434.
18. Van An, T., Van Dung, N. and Le Hang, V. T., (2013), A new approach to fixed point theorems on G -metric spaces. *Topology and its Applications*, 160, (12), pp.1486–1493.

Yaé Ulrich Gaba for the photography and short autobiography, see TWMS J. App. and Eng. Math. V.9, N.4.



Maggie Aphane got her PhD degree in topology from University of South Africa (UNISA, Yemen). She is working as a senior lecturer in the Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, Sefako Makgatho Health Sciences University, South Africa.



Chabi Fabrice Élégbédé is working as an a senior lecturer in the Department of Mathematics, National University of Sciences, Technologies, Engineering and Mathematics (UNSTIM). He received his Ph.D in 2012. He recently began to investigate some fixed point results in the setting of metric like spaces.